

United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States ocpanitation of commerce United States Parott and Tradegraph Office Address. Commissioner of Patients and Tradegmars! Washington, D.C. 20221

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/252,385	09/24/2002	Joachim Brendel	02481.1687-02	6107	
22852 759	03/21/2003				
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER		
DUNNER LLP			DESAL RITA J		
1300 I STREET			DESAL,	RIIAJ	
Washington	, DC 20006		ART UNIT	D 4 D 7 D 4 D 4 D 4 D 7 D 7 D 7 D 7 D 7	
	i		ARI DNII	Paper Number	
	1		1625	أثم	
			DATE MAU ED: 03/21/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

MAR 2 5 2003

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT AND DUNNER, LLP

MAR 2 5 2003

Docketeo\_\_\_\_\_

Due.Date\_ Action\_\_\_\_

ction\_\_\_ \_\_Bv\_\_

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·~	·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/252,385	BRENDEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RITA J. DESAI	1625
- The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Repty	ication appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm.  If the portiod for reply specified above, the maximum states of the committee of the commit	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a repunication. )) doys, a reply within the statutory minimum of birty butbury period with apply and will expire \$1X (6) MONTH will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
	for allowance except for formal mattice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 Is/are pending in the a	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 10-1		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_	
6)⊠ Claim(s) 9 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 1-7.18 and 19 Is/are objects	ed to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrice Application Papers	tion and/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed	onis: a) approved b) dis	approved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are req	uired in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority of	focuments have been received.	
2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority of	focuments have been received in App	olication No. <u>09/698.078</u> .
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internal</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action</li> </ul>	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign land		
Altachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	O-948) 5) Notice of Infe	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 4.  comal Patent Application (PTO-152)  -
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rav. 04-01),	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 1625

Page 2

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Priority

Applicants priority to the parent US 09/698,078 is acknowledged.

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7,9, 18 and 19 all in part drawn to compounds and composition and one method of use, wherein R1 is of the formula COR11 or SO2R10, and R10 and R11 have the formula R9 wherein R9 is a phenyl, naphthyl or a biphenylyl or a cycloalkyl, R3 is CyH2y-R16 and R16 is a phenyl, naphthyl or a biphenylyl or a cycloalkyl, R30 and R31 do not together form a chain, and R3 and R4 do not together form a ring, classified in class 564,514, subclass 155, 617. A further election of the species of example 4c on page 55 of the specifications was elected.
- II. Claims 8,15,16 and 17, drawn to complex compositions , classified in class 514 and various subclass.
- III. Claims 10,11-14, drawn to methods of treating using these compounds, classified in class 514 and various subclasses.
- IV. Claims 1-19 in part, drawn to compounds compositions and method of treating wherein the various R's are other than in group I, classified in various classes and subclass.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Art Unit: 1625

Page 3

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the compounds do not have a novel common core. The different variables R1-R31 give rise to numerous permutations and combinations that a 102 reference on one group would not be a 103 on the other group.

When a preliminary search was done on the core it gave numerous iterations indicating that the core is not the applicants contribution over the prior art.

#18 🖛

SAMPLE SEARCH INITIATED 18:29:03 FILE REGISTRY
SAMPLE SCREEN SEARCH COMPLETED - 314 TO ITERATE

100.0% PROCESSED 314 ITERATIONS

38 ANSWERS

SEARCH TIME: 00.00.01

FULL FILE PROJECTIONS: ONLINE \*\*COMPLETE\*\*

BATCH . \*\*COMPLETE\*\*

PROJECTED ITERATIONS: 5217 TO 7343

Inventions I,IV and II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case there are numerous drugs that treat arrythmia. Such as

Art Unit: 1625

Page 4

A synthetic antiarrhythmic drug, N-methyltetrahydrofurfuryl-4,4-(4'-methoxyphenyl) (3"-methyl-4"-methoxyphenyl)butylamine-2 hydrochloride.

AU Charlier, R.

CS Labaz Labs., Brussels, Belg.

SO Arch. intern. pharmacodynamie (1958), 115, 183-200

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II-IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr Steven Scott on 3/18/03 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-7,9 18 and 19 all in part drawn to compounds and composition and one method of use, wherein R1 is of the formula COR11 or SO2R10, and R10 and R11 have the formula R9 wherein R9 is a phenyl, naphthyl or a biphenylyl or a cycloalkyl, R3 is CyH2y-R16 and R16 is a phenyl, naphthyl or a biphenylyl or a cycloalkyl, R30 and R31 do not together form a chain, and R3 and R4 do not together form a ring, classified in class 564,514, subclass 155, 617. A further election of the

Art Unit: 1625

Page 5

species of example 4c on page 55 of the specifications was elected and the examiner made the above group based on fair interpretation of the principles of the chemical and legal equivalence.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 8-17 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

If applicant 's traverse on the grounds that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the groups to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 USC 103 of the other invention.

Applicants preserve their right to file a divisional on the canceled, non elected subject matter, without prejudice in due course.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1625

Page 6

Claim 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for IC50 values in different solutions, does not reasonably provide enablement for therapy or prophylaxis of K+ channel mediated illness!. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In re Wands, 858 F. 2d 731,737,8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Ex Parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (Bd of App. 1986).

The claims recite therapy or prophylaxis of K+ channel mediated illness! The specifications are devoid of any guidance as which illness they refer to. Also prophylaxis is same as prevention and there is no guidance as to how one would prevent an illness if one does not know if they have it or will in the future get it.

The only illness referred to is arrythmia, atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter.

There is very little predictability in the art that any and all illnesses, included the ones not discovered as yet can be treated by K+ channel mediation.

Applicant can over come this rejection by limiting it to treating these illness.

#### Conclusion

The claims limited to group I is found to be allowable over the prior art of record.

The claims are objected to as containing non-elected subject matter. If applicants amend the claims to the elected group and amend claim 9 to overcome the 112 issues the claims may be allowable.

Art Unit: 1625

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RITA J. DESAI whose telephone number is 703-305-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday,9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on 703-308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

R.D.

March 20, 2003

	ta		A 1	<del> </del>
	;	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
(Interview S	Şummary	10/252,385	BRENDEL ET A	<del>-</del>
	:	Examiner	Art Unit	
		RITA J. DESAI	1625	<del>-</del>
All participants (applicant, app	plicant's representative, PTO p	personnel):		
(1) <u>RITA J. DESAI</u> .	· · !	(3)		
(2) <u>Steven Scott</u> .	; ·	(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 March	<u>;2003</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic c)⊡ Personal (copy		)☐ applicant's representative	∌]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration If Yes, brief description:	on conducted: d) Yes	в)∐ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:				
Identification of prior art discu	ssed:			
Agreement with respect to the	claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	] N/A.	
Substance of Interview includi reached, or any other comme restriction group	ng description of the general r nts: <u>Applicants elected a spec</u>	nature of what was agreed to eles of compound 4c page 55	if an agreement ,for the examine	was r to make <u>a</u>
(A fuller description, if necessallowable, if available, must be allowable is available, a sumn	attached. Also, where no co	py of the amendments that w	eed would rende ould render the c	r the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REP INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Sec GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM T INTERVIEW. See Summary of	tion 713.04). If a reply to the I HIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FI	ast Office action has already LE A STATEMENT OF THE	been filed, APPL SUBSTANCE OF	ICANT IS
:				
				]
:				}
,				
1				
ı				
		•		}
1				
:				
Por Contract Contract				
Examiner Note: You must sign thi Attachment to a signed Office action		Examiner's signa	ture, if required	<del></del>
S. Palent and Trademunk Office TO-413 (Rev. 11- 02)	Interview	Summary		Paper Ño. 4.